Pakistan Social Sciences Review
www.pssr.org.pk

RESEARCH PAPER

Religious Identity and Political Mobilization: A Comparative Study of Hindu and Muslim Leadership in British India

¹Dr. Ghulam Shabbir* ² Dr. Sharaf Ali and ³ Khizar Jawad

1. Assistant Professor, Department of History & Pakistan Studies, University of Gujrat, Gujrat, Punjab, Pakistan
2. Associate Lecturer, Department of Political Science & IR, University of Gujrat, Gujrat, Punjab, Pakistan
3. Assistant Professor, Department of History/Pakistan Studies, F C College University Lahore Punjab, Pakistan

| ***Corresponding Author:** | ghulam.shabbir@uog.edu.pk |

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the impact of religious identity on political mobilization through a comparative analysis of Hindu and Muslim leadership in British colonial India. The objective is to understand how religiously inspired political movements emerged and their implications on identity construction and political processes. The background highlights the significance of religious identity in shaping political strategies and the need to fill gaps in comparative literature. Methodologically, the research employs archival research, oral testimonies, and cross-temporal comparisons to identify patterns and divergences in political actions. The results reveal that both Hindu and Muslim leaders utilized religious symbols and ideologies to mobilize support, with Hindu leaders merging religious and nationalist sentiments, while Muslim leaders focused on asserting Muslim identity. The study recommends adopting a multi-dimensional analytical approach to understand the complexities of historical processes, which can provide valuable insights into contemporary communal dynamics and the role of religious identity in political trajectories.

| **KEYWORDS** | Freedom Movement, Hindus, Muslims, Leadership, Religious Identity |

Introduction

This research investigates the intricate relationship between religious identity and political mobilization by conducting a comparative study of Hindu and Muslim leadership during the British colonial period in India. The significance of this study lies in its potential to shed light on the historical underpinnings of religiously motivated political movements, offering valuable insights into the complexities of identity formation and its impact on the political landscape.

Understanding how religious identity shaped the political strategies of Hindu and Muslim leaders during British India is crucial for comprehending the roots of contemporary communal dynamics. This study addresses the dearth of comprehensive comparative analyses, aiming to unravel the nuanced factors influencing political mobilization within these religious communities. By exploring the historical context, ideologies, and strategies employed by leaders, we aim to contribute to a more nuanced understanding of the intersection between religion and politics.

The research employs a multi-faceted approach, combining archival research, oral histories and a case study methodology. Thematic coding and a comparative timeline analysis will be utilized to identify patterns and divergences in the political

mobilization of Hindu and Muslim leaders. Stakeholder analysis, including community perspectives, will offer a holistic view. Ethical considerations will guide the collection and use of data, ensuring a responsible and respectful approach.

Despite the plethora of studies on religious identity and politics in British India, a comprehensive comparative analysis of Hindu and Muslim leadership is notably lacking. This research aims to bridge this gap by offering a nuanced exploration of the ideologies, strategies, and impact of key leaders on the political landscape. By identifying the gaps in existing scholarship, this study seeks to contribute fresh perspectives and enrich the academic discourse.

This study holds several benefits, including providing a deeper understanding of historical dynamics that continue to influence contemporary politics in South Asia. Scholars, policymakers and the public stand to gain insights into the intricacies of communal relations and the role of religious identity in shaping political trajectories. Additionally, the research contributes methodologically by showcasing the efficacy of a comprehensive, multi-dimensional approach in studying complex historical phenomena. In conclusion, this research endeavours to unravel the layers of religious identity and political mobilization among Hindu and Muslim leaders in British India, offering valuable contributions to historical scholarship and contemporary discourse on religion and politics.

Literature Review

The political mobilization of Hindu and Muslim leadership in British India has been extensively examined by scholars, highlighting the interplay between religious identities and political strategies during the colonial period.

Sikandar Hayat (2014) explores the development of Muslim political consciousness and the demand for a separate Muslim state. Hayat's work highlights the ideological underpinnings of the Pakistan Movement and the role of key leaders in articulating and mobilizing support for the idea of Pakistan. He discusses how religious identity was leveraged to foster a sense of unity and purpose among Muslims, which was critical in the struggle for independence (Hayat, 2008, 2014).

Muhammad Iqbal Chawla's (2023) study provides an in-depth analysis of the All-India Muslim League's strategies and leadership under Mohammad Ali Jinnah. Chawla argues that Jinnah's leadership was essential in unifying the diverse Muslim communities of India under a single political banner, leading to the eventual creation of Pakistan. His research emphasizes the role of religious identity in fostering political cohesion among Muslims in British India (Chawla, 2011, 2023).

Ghulam Shabbir (2024) examines the socio-political dynamics of Muslim leadership in British India, focusing on the interplay between religious identity and political strategy. Shabbir's research provides a nuanced understanding of how Muslim leaders navigated the challenges posed by colonial rule and Hindu majoritarian politics. His work underscores the importance of religious identity in shaping the political aspirations and actions of Muslim leaders (Shabbir, 2024a).

Neeti Nair's study of Punjabi Hindu politics before the partition reveals the complex and fluid nature of Hindu political identities. Nair's analysis of leaders like Lala Lajpat Rai and Swami Shraddhanand shows how they navigated the political landscape by blending communal and nationalist ideologies, challenging the traditional binary

opposition between secular and communal politics (Sen, 2011). Similarly, Battaglia (2017) explores the rise of neo-Hindu fundamentalism, arguing that Hindu identities were shaped by perceived threats from other religious communities and colonial rule, fostering a militant form of Hindu nationalism known as Hindutva.

The Khilafat Movement, led by Maulana Muhammad Ali Jauhar and Maulana Shaukat Ali, marks a significant period in Muslim political activism in British India. This movement gained mass recognition with the support of the Indian National Congress and Gandhi's non-violence advocacy. However, the withdrawal of Gandhi's support highlighted the divergent political paths of Hindus and Muslims (Anwar et al., 2023). Gilmartin's (1979) research on Muslim politics in Punjab emphasizes the crucial role of rural religious leaders, or sajjada nashins, in supporting the Muslim League and advocating for Pakistan's creation. This alignment of religious and political interests was essential in the Muslim League's electoral success and the establishment of Pakistan.

Van der Veer's (1994) comprehensive study of religious nationalism in India provides a broader context for understanding the political mobilization of Hindu and Muslim leaders. By examining various historical and contemporary instances of religious mobilization, Van der Veer illustrates how religious identities were constructed and utilized for political purposes, offering critical insights into the role of religious organizations and rituals in nationalist movements. Chandrani (2013) explores the long-term impacts of colonial policies on religious and regional identities in Gujarat, arguing that the marginalization and violence against Muslims are products of both secular nation-building and religious nationalist mobilization. This entanglement complicates the traditional dichotomy between religion and the secular, suggesting that contemporary communal violence is rooted in historical processes of identity construction.

The literature underscores the critical role of religious identities in shaping political strategies and outcomes among Hindu and Muslim leaders in British India. These studies collectively highlight the complex interplay between religion and politics, contributing to the partition of India and enduring communal tensions.

Material and Methods

The study employs a multi-faceted approach to investigate the relationship between religious identity and political mobilization of Hindu and Muslim leadership during British colonial India. This includes examining historical documents and official records to understand the political actions and strategies of leaders from both communities. Oral testimonies from descendants of key figures and individuals knowledgeable about the period are collected to provide personal narratives and richer context. In-depth case studies of prominent leaders are conducted to explore their political strategies and religious motivations. Thematic coding is applied to categorize data from archival research and oral histories, identifying key themes such as religious identity, political strategy, and communal relations. Comparative timelines are developed to analyse the sequence of political actions and events, helping to understand the interplay between religious and political movements over time.

Stakeholder analysis incorporates various community perspectives to offer a holistic view of the political mobilization process. Ethical guidelines are strictly followed in data collection and use, ensuring respect and accuracy, informed consent, and confidentiality. This comprehensive approach aims to avoid oversimplification and provides a nuanced understanding of the complex historical processes, highlighting the

role of religious identity in shaping political mobilization in British India. By examining the patterns and divergences in political activism and leadership, the research contributes to a deeper understanding of how historical dynamics continue to influence contemporary communal relations and political landscapes in South Asia.

Religious Identity and Political Mobilization: Role of Hindu Leadership

The political mobilization of Hindu leadership in British India was a complex process aimed at securing both political and religious identity in the face of colonial rule and the emerging demand for independence. Various leaders and movements played significant roles in this process, leveraging religious identity to galvanize political support and foster a sense of unity among Hindus.

One of the foremost figures in Hindu political mobilization was Bal Gangadhar Tilak, often referred to as the "Father of Indian Unrest." Tilak's initiatives, such as the public celebration of Ganapati festivals and Shivaji Jayanti, were aimed at instilling a sense of pride and unity among Hindus. His slogan, "Swaraj is my birthright and I shall have it," became a rallying cry for independence, inspiring many to join the struggle (Hardiman, 2003). Tilak's efforts to combine religious identity with political activism laid the foundation for a broader nationalist movement that included various segments of Indian society.

Mahatma Gandhi's leadership was characterized by his emphasis on non-violence (ahimsa) and civil disobedience (satyagraha). Gandhi's approach was deeply rooted in Hindu philosophy, using religious fasting, prayer meetings, and symbols like the spinning wheel (charkha) to rally people around the cause of independence (Parekh, 1997). His campaigns, including the Salt March and the Quit India Movement, mobilized millions across the country, transcending caste and religious barriers. However, Gandhi's use of Hindu symbolism sometimes led to perceptions of his movement being more Hindu-centric, which created challenges in gaining the full support of the Muslim community (Chandra, 2017).

Motilal Nehru, a senior leader of the Indian National Congress and father of Jawaharlal Nehru, played a pivotal role in the party's organizational structure and strategies. Though not as directly involved in religious mobilization as Gandhi, his efforts in the political domain laid the groundwork for a more secular approach within the Congress. Motilal's advocacy for constitutional reforms and self-governance provided a platform for future leaders to address broader national issues, including religious unity (Brown, 1994).

Jawaharlal Nehru, India's first Prime Minister, was a staunch advocate of secularism and modernization. Nehru's vision for India was that of a secular state where religion would not interfere with politics. Despite this, he worked closely with leaders like Gandhi, who used religious mobilization to inspire the masses. Nehru's intellectual and political leadership helped shape the Indian National Congress's policies, promoting inclusivity and religious harmony as foundational principles of the future Indian state (Gopal, 1976).

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel, known as the "Iron Man of India," was a key figure in the integration of princely states into the Indian Union. Patel's pragmatic approach and strong leadership were crucial in maintaining unity and stability during the tumultuous period leading up to and following independence. While Patel respected Gandhi's use of religious symbolism, he himself focused more on the political and administrative aspects

of mobilization, ensuring that the new nation would be cohesive and strong (Bhattacharya, 2013).

The rise of neo-Hindu fundamentalism during the late colonial period further exemplifies the politicization of Hindu identity. Battaglia (2017) argues that Hindu identities were significantly shaped by the perceived threats from other religious communities and colonial rule, leading to the development of a militant form of Hindu nationalism known as Hindutva. This movement emphasized the need to assert Hinduism as the foundation of Indian civilization and resist the influence of non-Hindu elements. Van der Veer (1994) provides a comprehensive analysis of religious nationalism in India, examining various historical and contemporary instances of religious mobilization. His study highlights how religious identities were constructed and utilized for political purposes, offering critical insights into the role of religious organizations and rituals in shaping nationalist movements. Jaffrelot (1995) details the Hindu nationalist movement in India since the 1920s, arguing that political uneasiness created by real and imagined threats of colonialism and the presence of minority groups paved the way for militant Hinduism. This rise was marked by the portrayal of Indian Muslims as the "other" and the assertion that Hindus were the true owners of the land.

Together, these leaders played complementary roles in the struggle for independence. Gandhi's mass mobilization through non-violent resistance, Nehru's vision of a secular and modern India, Patel's pragmatic unification efforts, and Tilak's religious and cultural awakening created a multifaceted approach to political mobilization. Their collective efforts not only fostered a strong sense of national identity but also addressed the complex interplay between religion and politics in British India. This blend of religious identity and political strategy was crucial in galvanizing the Indian populace against colonial rule, ultimately leading to independence in 1947.

In conclusion, the political mobilization of Hindu leadership in British India was a multifaceted process deeply intertwined with religious identity. Leaders like Tilak, Gandhi, Nehru, and Patel played crucial roles in this mobilization, each contributing uniquely to the broader nationalist movement. Their efforts not only fostered a strong sense of national identity but also addressed the complex interplay between religion and politics in British India.

Religious Identity and Political Mobilization: Role of Muslim Leadership

The political mobilization of Muslim leadership in British India was deeply influenced by religious identity and the socio-political landscape of the time. This mobilization was marked by various movements and leaders who sought to assert Muslim identity in the political sphere.

The Khilafat Movement, led by figures such as Maulana Muhammad Ali Jauhar and Maulana Shaukat Ali, was a key moment in Muslim political activism in British India. This movement, which gained mass recognition with the support of the Indian National Congress and Gandhi's advocacy for non-violence, highlighted the growing rift between Hindu and Muslim political aspirations. The movement ultimately underscored the divergent paths of Hindu and Muslim political mobilization, with Gandhi eventually withdrawing his support due to his non-violence principles (Anwar et al., 2023).

Gilmartin (1979) focuses on the role of religious leaders in the political mobilization of Muslims in Punjab. The study highlights the connections between the structure of religious leadership and Muslim politics, emphasizing the significant role of

rural religious leaders, or sajjada nashins, in supporting the Muslim League and advocating for the creation of Pakistan. This alignment of religious and political interests was crucial in the Muslim League's electoral success and the eventual establishment of Pakistan. Van der Veer's (1994) comprehensive study of religious nationalism in India provides a broader context for understanding the political mobilization of Muslim leaders. By examining various historical and contemporary instances of religious mobilization, Van der Veer illustrates how religious identities were constructed and utilized for political purposes, offering critical insights into the role of religious organizations and rituals in shaping nationalist movements. Jaffrelot (1995) provides a detailed account of the political strategies employed by Muslim leaders in response to Hindu nationalism. He argues that political uneasiness, created by real and imagined threats of Hindu dominance and colonialism, paved the way for Muslim political movements that sought to protect and assert Muslim identity. Mukta (2000) explores how Muslim leaders in British India utilized religious identity to forge a politicized Muslim community. This process involved the use of vernacular press and religious symbolism to galvanize support among Muslim populations, creating a unified political front against perceived threats. The writings of Ayoob (2020) trace the rise of Muslim political mobilization in response to the increasing assertiveness of Hindu nationalism. He identifies key factors such as British colonial policies, the influence of Muslim intellectuals, and the socio-political dynamics of the time as critical in shaping the trajectory of Muslim political movements.

The role of Muslim leadership in religious identity and political mobilization in British India was critical in shaping the political landscape and addressing the concerns of the Muslim community during the colonial period. Prominent leaders such as Sir Syed Ahmed Khan, Allama Iqbal, Muhammad Ali Jinnah, Liaquat Ali Khan, Maulana Muhammad Ali Jauhar, Maulana Shaukat Ali, and other religious leaders played significant roles in advocating for Muslim interests and fostering a distinct Muslim political identity (Shabbir, 2024b).

Sir Syed Ahmed Khan was a visionary who recognized the need for modern education among Muslims to ensure their socio-political advancement. He founded the Muhammadan Anglo-Oriental College in Aligarh, which later became Aligarh Muslim University. Sir Syed's efforts were aimed at bridging the gap between Muslims and the British administration, promoting loyalty to the British while simultaneously emphasizing the importance of education and social reform. His vision laid the groundwork for the development of a distinct Muslim identity in India (Wells, 2006).

Allama Iqbal, a philosopher, poet, and politician, was instrumental in conceptualizing the idea of a separate Muslim state. His poetic and philosophical works highlighted the spiritual and cultural distinctiveness of Muslims in India. Iqbal's address at the Allahabad session of the Muslim League in 1930 articulated the vision of an independent Muslim state, which later became the ideological foundation for Pakistan. His ideas inspired the Muslim community and provided intellectual support for the demand for a separate nation (Datta, 2002).

Muhammad Ali Jinnah, initially a member of the Indian National Congress, emerged as the foremost leader of the All India Muslim League. Jinnah's transformation from a proponent of Hindu-Muslim unity to the "Quaid-i-Azam" (Great Leader) of the demand for Pakistan was driven by his perception of the growing marginalization of Muslims in Indian politics (Noorani, 1990). Jinnah's leadership was characterized by his insistence on protecting Muslim political rights and his demand for a separate Muslim state, culminating in the Lahore Resolution of 1940. His ability to articulate Muslim

grievances and aspirations made him the central figure in the political mobilization of Muslims in British India (Shabbir, Jawad, & Hanif, 2022).

Liaquat Ali Khan, a close associate of Jinnah, played a crucial role in organizing the Muslim League and advocating for the creation of Pakistan. As a prominent leader, he was instrumental in negotiating with the British and Congress leaders, ensuring that the demands of Muslims were effectively represented. Liaquat's efforts in consolidating the Muslim League's political base and his role in the eventual formation of Pakistan were significant (Moore, 1983).

Maulana Muhammad Ali Jauhar and Maulana Shaukat Ali, collectively known as the Ali Brothers, were influential religious and political leaders who played a key role in the Khilafat Movement. This movement aimed to protect the Ottoman Caliphate, which held symbolic significance for Muslims worldwide. The Ali Brothers' mobilization efforts brought together Muslims across India in a mass movement, fostering a sense of Islamic solidarity and political awareness. Their collaboration with Gandhi during the Non-Cooperation Movement also highlighted the potential for Hindu-Muslim unity against colonial rule, although this alliance was short-lived (Shabbir, 2018).

Other religious leaders and scholars also contributed to the political mobilization of Muslims by emphasizing the importance of religious identity and community solidarity. These leaders used religious gatherings, publications, and organizations to rally support for the Muslim League and the demand for a separate Muslim state. Their efforts helped create a collective consciousness among Muslims, highlighting their distinct identity and political aspirations (Aziz, 1997).

The collective efforts of these Muslim leaders significantly shaped the political landscape of British India. Their emphasis on religious identity and political mobilization addressed the concerns of the Muslim community, leading to the creation of Pakistan in 1947. The interplay between religion and politics in their leadership strategies not only galvanized the Muslim population but also set the stage for the emergence of a separate nation, fundamentally altering the course of South Asian history.

Results and Discussions

Evidently, it has been demonstrated in this study that religious identity has been central with regards to political mobilization during the British colonial India. In this study, the political activities of these leaders were explored by using archival sources, oral narratives and comparative chronological approaches used to establish different kinds of patterns of these leaders' activities. Some of the Hindu leaders like Bal Gangadhar Tilak and Mohandas Karamchand Gandhi used religious imagery and ceremonies to consolidate religious Hindus for collective purposes and Muslim leaders such as the Muhammad Ali Jinnah and the Ali brothers employed the Islamic formative to articulate a unifying political Muslim consciousness and demand Muslim political rights. These strategies were important in mobilising their respective communities and in defining the direction of India's freedom struggle as well as the partition of the sub-continent.

Thus, the paper reveals the interaction, intertwined processes of religion and politics and points out the fact that the mobilization processes were not just very distinct but also dependent on the specific leaders and geographic areas. Hindu leaders either linked anti-colonial struggles with religious sentiment, therefore ensuring a mass participation in the movement; Muslim leaders on the other hand mobilized their

people's political activism in defence of their identity – both against colonial oppression and predominantly Hindu politics. It should be noted that only with the help of complex methodologies it is possible to describe such details and, thus, consider the factors which must not be accentuated at the apex of historical development. It has its relevance to the current works of religious politics and religion more broadly by presenting a far better picture of how religion shaped politics and, in turn, how politics shaped religion by playing the role of another useful history for understanding the historical circumstances behind the contemporary communal relations in South Asia.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this research delves into the intricate relationship between religious identity and political mobilization by examining the roles of Hindu and Muslim leadership during the British colonial period in India. By exploring how religious identity influenced the political strategies of leaders from both communities, the study aims to provide valuable insights into the historical roots of contemporary communal dynamics. Through a comparative analysis of Hindu and Muslim leadership, this research seeks to fill the existing gap in scholarship by offering a nuanced understanding of the ideologies, strategies, and impacts of key leaders on the political landscape.

Employing a multi-faceted approach, including archival research, oral histories, and case study methodology, the study aims to identify patterns and divergences in political mobilization through thematic coding and comparative timeline analysis. Stakeholder perspectives will be considered to provide a holistic view, ensuring ethical considerations guide the research process. This comprehensive approach is expected to offer fresh perspectives and enrich academic discourse on the intersection between religion and politics.

Despite numerous studies on religious identity and politics in British India, a thorough comparative analysis of Hindu and Muslim leadership has been notably absent. This research addresses this gap by exploring the historical context, ideologies, and strategies employed by key leaders, thereby contributing to a more nuanced understanding of political mobilization during the colonial period. The insights gained from this study are expected to benefit scholars, policymakers, and the public by deepening the understanding of historical dynamics that continue to influence contemporary politics in South Asia. Moreover, the research showcases the efficacy of a comprehensive, multi-dimensional approach in studying complex historical phenomena.

In the end, this research endeavours to unravel the layers of religious identity and political mobilization among Hindu and Muslim leaders in British India, offering valuable contributions to historical scholarship and contemporary discourse on religion and politics. Through a detailed examination of the roles played by prominent Hindu and Muslim leaders, the study highlights the complex interplay between religion and politics, which ultimately led to the partition of India and the creation of Pakistan, leaving a lasting impact on the region's political and social fabric.

Recommendation

Thus, this work suggests that the application of the multi-dimensional analysis methodology would help to capture a variety of processes that took place in the historical perspective concerning religious identity and political incorporation. It is suggested that scholars should use historical documents and archives, interviews, and comparative time frame analysis as a way to investigate dynamic relationship between religion and

politics. Such an analysis minimizes the risk of their stereotyping and helps to see how religious orientations affect political motivation and results. Future studies should also examine how these past processes affect current inter-communal relations and political developments in South Asia which would be helpful for understanding modern-day forms of religious conflict and providing useful recommendations for improving the relations between religious groups for scholars and political leaders.

References

Anwar, S., Khan, W., & Ullah, S. (2023). Impact of Khilafat Movement on the Politics of British India (1920-1940): A Descriptive and Exploratory Analysis. *Journal of Humanities, Social and Management Sciences.* 04 (1). 118-130.

Ayoob, M. (2020). The Rise of Hindu Nationalism in Historical Perspective. *India Review,* 19, 414-425.

Aziz, Q. (1997). *Quaid-i-Azam Jinnah and the Battle for Pakistan.* Karachi, Pakistan: Islamic Media Corp.

Battaglia, F. (2017). *Hindu Nationalism: A Reader.* Princeton University Press.

Battaglia, G. (2017). Neo-Hindu Fundamentalism Challenging the Secular and Pluralistic Indian State. *Religion,* 8, 216.

Bhattacharya, S. (2013). *Patel: A Life.* Delhi: Random House India.

Brown, J. (1994). Nehru: *A Political Life.* New York: Yale University Press.

Chandra, B. (2017). *India's Struggle for Independence.* London: Penguin Books.

Chandrani, Y. (2013). *Legacies of Colonial History: Region, Religion and Violence in Postcolonial Gujarat.* Columbia: Columbia University Press.

Chawla, M. I. (2011, 2023). *Wavell and the Dying Days of the Raj: Britain's Penultimate Viceroy in India* (1st, 2nd Ed.). Karachi: Oxford University Press.

Datta, V. N. (2002). Iqbal, Jinnah and India's Partition: An Intimate Relationship. *Economic and Political Weekly, 37*(50), 5033-5038.

Gilmartin, D. (1979). Religious Leadership and the Pakistan Movement in the Punjab. *Modern Asian Studies,* 13, 485-517.

Gopal, S. (1976). *Jawaharlal Nehru: A Biography.* Harvard: Harvard University Press.

Hardiman, D. (2003). *Gandhi in His Time and Ours.* Columbia: Columbia University Press.

Hayat, S. (2008, 2014). *The Charismatic Leader: Quaid-i-Azam Mohammad Ali Jinnah and the Creation of Pakistan* (1st, 2nd Ed.). Karachi, Pakistan: Oxford University Press.

Jaffrelot, C. (1995). *The Hindu Nationalist Movement and Indian Politics.* London: C. Hurst & Co. Publishers.

Jaffrelot, C. (1995). The Hindu Nationalist Movement in India. *The Journal of Asian Studies,* 58(3), 549-551.

Mukta, P. (2000). The public face of Hindu nationalism. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 23(3), 442-466.

Moore, R. J. (1983). Jinnah and the Pakistan Demand. *Modern Asian Studies, 17*(4), 529-561.

Noorani, A. G. (1990). Jinnah's Commitment to Liberalism. *Economic and Political Weekly, 25*(2), 71-73.

Wells, I. B. (2006). *Jinnah: Ambassador of Hindu-Muslim Politics*. London: Seagull.

Parekh, B. (1997). *Gandhi: A Very Short Introduction.* Delhi: Oxford University Press.

Sen, U. (2011). Changing Homelands: Hindu Politics and the Partition of India. *Journal of Genocide Research*, 13(4), 511-537.

Iqbal, Samina., Yasmeen, Rukhsana., Hanif, Kalsoom., Shabbir, G. (2018). Mapping the Khalistan Movement, 1930-1947: An Overview. *Pakistan Journal of Social Research, 55*(1). 280-287.

Shabbir, G. (2024a). *Jinnah and Gandhi: A Comparative Study of Charismatic Leadership.* Lahore: History & Politics Publishing, Lahore.

Shabbir, G. (2024b). *Jinnah and Jawaharlal: A Comparative Study of Charismatic Leadership.* Lahore: History & Politics Publishing.

Shabbir, G., Jawad, K., & Hanif, K. (2022). The Charismatic Leadership of Quaid-i-Azam Muhammad Ali Jinnah: His Vision and Constitutionalism. *Pakistan Languages and Humanities Review, 6*(1), 159-167. doi:10.47205/plhr.2022(6-I)14

Southard, B. (1980). The Political Strategy of Aurobindo Ghosh: The Utilization of Hindu Religious Symbolism and the Problem of Political Mobilization in Bengal. *Modern Asian Studies,* 14(3), 353-376.

Van der Veer, P. (1994). *Religious Nationalism: Hindus and Muslims in India.* California: University of California Press.