



RESEARCH PAPER

Environmental Issues and the Interconnection between Humanity and Nature in Shakespeare's *King Lear*: An Ecocritical Perspective

¹Dr. Saima Yousaf Khan, ²Fizzah Iqbal* and ³Dr. Aqsa Kiran safeer

1. Lecturer, Department of English Literature, University of Balochistan, Quetta, Balochistan, Pakistan
2. Lecture, Department of English, University of Wah, Wah Cantt, Punjab, Pakistan
3. Lecturer, Department of English, National University of Modern Languages Multan, Punjab, Pakistan

*Corresponding Author: fizzah.iqbal@uow.edu.pk

ABSTRACT

The objective of the study is to analyze how the natural world is represented in Shakespeare's *King Lear* and its relationship with the human condition, focusing on themes such as ecological disruption, human hubris and the interdependence of all living beings. Shakespeare uses the storm as metaphorical and realistic symbol of ecological disharmony which mirrors social instability in romantic and political relationships. The research is qualitative in nature and uses content analysis by utilizing ecocriticism as a theoretical framework. The study also shows how people such as Lear and Gloucester transform from beings who view nature as something over which they have power over to beings who have to bow to the force of nature. The implications of the study are akin to the agenda of ecocriticism as the play raises the concern of human nature's detachment from nature and that nature should be preserved in order to achieve social-cohesiveness.

KEYWORDS

Environmental Ethics, Interconnection Between Humanity and Nature, *King Lear*, Ecocritical Perspective

Introduction

Ecocriticism, an interdisciplinary critical method that examines the manner in which literature and the environment intersect, has become an important critical lens through which to understand literary works in relation to environmental issues. Ecocriticism, as formulated by Cheryll Glotfelty, is a mission to 'interrogate the ways in which literature understands the physical world', and is imperative cultural perform of defining ecological problems (Glotfelty, 1996, p. 18). William Shakespeare play *King Lear* with powerful themes partly devoted to the exploration of nature and human hubris as well as ecological shift is the suitable candidate for the ecocritical reading. Frequently in Shakespeare's plays and poems are landscape, the position of which varies from being created as an equivalent to people's experiences, to being alive and able to engage with characters. Natural, such as the storm that takes place in the play, *King Lear* transforms into characters' objectification and becomes, thus, first of all a focus on external disturbance and inner psychopathology. In Shakespeare's work, nature will not merely denote the geographic background, but will comprise the moral and metaphysical, speaking against anthropocentric thinking, as it was noted by McKusick (2010). Hence, the useful place of *King Lear* in seeing early modernity as a period that foresees and connects to a post-representational worldview in ecological consciousness. Approximating the concept of deconstruction which is central to the play, issues like destruction of set roles and order, materialization of enlightenment discourse, and moral and ecological degeneration are also of major interest to ecocriticism. For example, Lear

giving up his affection towards his daughters and their revolt leading to the state of limbo then correlates with the theme of humanity bartering with the concept of nature. Likewise however, the storm scene not only corresponds to Lear's profound emotional state and its acres, but also to the chaos observed in an outrageous human hubris that tends to overlook ecological order (Cunningham, 2008). Such depictions concern modern discussions of the Anthropocene, the geological period with strong human influence on the planet's ecosystems. Critics have gradually paid much attention to the ecological elements in Shakespeare's plays. Ecophobia was a term used by Estok (2001) for distrust or hatred of the natural world in literature stating that King Lear presents the calamities of negative thinking. Hence, by positing the play in this kind of ecocritical paradigm, this research aims at attempting to enrich the current scholarship on the dynamics of Shakespearean ecocriticism and its applicability to the present day discourses on the environment. In addition, it is seeking to explore the Shakespearean play King Lear from the perspective of a newly discovered shade of ecological awareness that the analysis of symbols and themes in the play will reveal. It will be worthy to note that this research comes at a perfect time seeing that negative effects on the environment and climate change are more apparent in the twenty-first century. By engaging in an analysis of King Lear through the lenses of the ecocritical approach, this work aims at showing how Shakespearean play remains pertinent to the problems of modern ecology as well as attesting to the significance of fixing humanity's postmodern identity crisis in relation to the natural environment.

For as long as the history of literature, people predominantly used them to tell stories about the Earth, about nature, and about ourselves in relation to the environment. The essence of Shakespeare's King Lear is the presence of the materials upon which nature as a concept can be built, and of human overweening, and of ecological change. Nevertheless, as ecocriticism as a critical approach becomes more and more established in modern literary analysis, not much emphasis has been laid down to analyse King Lear under its rubric especially in light of the existing twenty first century environmental crises. The acting power of the play in depicting natural forces like storm and the virtue and vice consequent upon interfering with the forces of nature gives a standpoint to the authors and ecological comprehensibility of the characters. To this end, this research aims at filling the noted gap by analyzing the extent of the ecological concern reflected in King Lear and how it relates to the modern-day discourses on the same.

King Lear written by William Shakespeare provides powerful readers' reflection on existence, human bonds and the nature. As the importance of global environmental issues has emerged, it is high time to discuss the ecological motifs in some of the most famous world's works. Organized through storms, heath, and exposure which is an epitome of the existence and connection between people and nature. Nonetheless, few studies have explored ecocritical approaches in relation to the discourse on 'King Lear'. This research seeks to fill this gap, by focusing on how the play is able to portray ecological calamities and the symbiotic relationship between human beings and the earth in an effort to bring light to Shakespeare's applicability to the modern environmentalist dialogue.

Literature Review

Thus, as a critical approach, eco-criticism has rapidly developed over the last few decades in the field of literary analysis, as it orients on the practices of people and their relationship with nature in the context of the texts they read. This paper situates King Lear within the context of ecocritical theory with the understanding that the play might

serve as a valuable contribution to the understanding of the human/nature divide. Ecocriticism: An Overview Cheryll Glotfelty, a founder of the ecocritical school of thought, described ecocriticism as 'the study of texts in relation to the MORE and non-human world' (Glotfelty, 1996, p. 17). Many critics protested this kind of monodrama because this approach eliminates anthropocentric readings of nature; instead of being seen as an environment, a background to events, nature is a character. Timothy Clark (2011) builds upon this by allying literature as being able to pose method for engaging ecological disasters, encouraging environmental mindfulness. It is in this context, a fair amount of Shakespeare's works having been addressed in ecocriticism as his exploration of nature is profound.

The nature has often been considered as an element of discussion in Shakespeare's plays. *King Lear* is especially notable for the realistic and poetic portrayal of the forces of storm and nature, for heath and recurrent imagery to do with cycles of natural phenomena. In that book, Jonathan Bate (1997) argues that Shakespeare well understood both the positive and the negative aspects of nature, which are quite rightly viewed as two faces of the same ecologic processes. Prior, he claims that *King Lear* has a proactive kind of green politics, in that it posits nature as an unmanageable, retaliatory force for human stupidity. Likewise, Estok (2001) coins the term of ecophobia, under which he construes humanity's hatred and shivering. In *King Lear* the entangled dramatic action and the storm play the external and internal punisher in Lear's hubris, which means a symbol of the punishment of the denial of natural and moral laws. Based on this, Estok opines that the play is a depiction of humanity trying to cease control over nature something that is familiar to the contemporary environmental crisis. The motif most often discussed with regards to *King Lear* is the storm which forms a pivotal role in the play. By the storm, McKusick (2010) does not only mean Lear's irretrievable psychological turmoil, but also refers to objective environmental disruption that can be elicited by human destructive activity. This anchors itself alongside ecofeminist theories as espoused by Stacy Alaimo (2008) proposing that environmental degradation relates to altering natural connections including people's kinship into society. Further, the storm in *King Lear* represents what Timothy Morton (2007) refers to as the 'dark ecology' in which human beings and nature are far from distinct from one another but constructively connected. This view shifts readers from an anthropocentric view by allowing them to see their dependence in large ecosystems. To this, a review of the anthropocentrism and the confrontational approach towards the natural order of things will be proposed. They have to reflect the social and natural order in a world that causes anthropocentrism and disrupts it in *King Lear*.

Cunningham (2008) argues that Lear's rejection of his natural daughter and his subsequent death highlight arrogance and the despising of the natural ties. It is possible to conjecture that Shakespeare's focalizing of the 'natural tie' of progenitor/child relationship might suggest a much grander conception of the dysfunction of human and the world – an idea that has resonated in current ecological discourses. Moreover, to cement the argument Frye (2000) examine how Shakespeare portrays the natural order in the society by examining the role of ecology in early modern England in the play *King Lear*. According to him the tragic plot of the play predicts the ethical and environmental check that comes with societies estrangement from the natural world. The need to proactively engage with climate change and environmental deterioration does make analysis of canonical text such as the *King Lear* important. According to Garrard (2012), literary texts can also be cultural objects that call society to reduce its wrong usage of the natural resource. It is for this reason that *King Lear*, though perhaps the tragedy most resistant to the green appeal, makes for compelling reading for the contemporary

ecocritic: besides natural justice, the play also presents the theme of restorative justice inherent in the work of ecology. Gaps in Existing Literature Even though there is a vast literature devoted to the environmental aspects of Shakespeare, individual essays on King Lear that would fit under the rubric of ecocriticism are few and far between. The effects and themes of the novel have therefore been treated separately: the storm and the role of natural symbolism is discussed, for example, but not within an encompassing understanding of an ecological approach. This research is intended to meet this need by assessing the manner in which the play, King Lear, deconstructs anthropocentric perspective and embraces ecological connectivity as a way of advancing knowledge in both Shakespearean criticism and ecocritic works.

Material and Methods

This work uses a qualitative textual research approach to address the ecological issues in Shakespeare's play, King Lear. Using the concept of ecocriticism, the study analyses the connection between the natural environment and people through the lens of the environment view as a critic with special concern on the construction of the ecological theme to the context and significance of the text and environment. The following steps outline the methodological approach: Textual Analysis Textual areas of the play that feature ecological motifs and natural elements, as well as concern for the environment and nature, are examined for their application to the analysis of the selected theme. Stress lays on such episodes as a storm on earth and symbols and ambience associated with nature, which appear throughout the overall cinematization of the play. Thematic Analysis Elements like subversion of the biomorphic perspective, anthropocentrism, and eco-justice are systematically defined and discussed. This means analyzing the language used, the images displayed and the characters' behaviors to find out if there is hidden ecological issues.

Theoretical Framework

The study utilized theoretical framework of Ecocriticism of Cheryll Glotfelty (1996) which explores the relations between a text and the physical world. This framework was used to examine the way in which species and other forms of life are portrayed in King Lear and suggest that Shakespeare's play is ecological at the base. Dark Ecology describes the storm in King Lear, the idea of the dark ecology by Timothy Morton (2007) is used to explain the threads of the environmental and ethical disorder. This strategy emphasises the interconnectedness of human and non-human actors, and thus, shows a redefinition of the boundary between them. The analysis provides representation of nature which examines the presentation of the storm, the earth and the animals to identify and explain their roles that relate to purpose and theme. Concerning the character actions and specifically Lear actions the play considers the human beings' alienation from and domination of the environment.

Results and Discussion

The Tempest, Act Three Scene Two known as the Storm on the Heath

"Unless ambitious pride shall stifle wanton desires soon blow, winds, and crack your cheeks" Rage! Blow! You cataracts and hurricanoes, spout. "The Lord lay the foundation of his throne close to where a cock once crowed, till you have drenched out steeples and drowned the cocks! Interpretation: Through the use of a storm, Shakespeare creates the cloud of an ecological theme, though in the play, it represents disorder within

the characters as well as the external conditions. Lear's demand for the winds to fury is an example of arrogance to control the nature same to the anthropocentric interest of man. However, the storm earthquake raises questions about morality and physical predispositions of environment that show that human actions matters little in the face of environmental forces. It is pertinent to immersing oneself in Timothy Morton (2007) dark ecology, there is distinction between the storm and humanity, at the same time, reemphasizes that people should not master over natural forces.

The chaos of natural order (Act I Scene i)

"Nature is my divinity; to thee, O Nature!" My services are bound." – Edmund Interpretation: Edmund's way of referring to nature as his 'goddess', his appeal to natural rights unhampered by societal taboos speak to a very dark dalliance with naturalism as Darwinism. From this point of view, we can comment on anthropocentric subservience of ecological and moral values to personal pursuit. The way Edmund perverts the role of nature is also in tune with the possibilities of the ecocritical conception of human nature as a greedy impose of anthropocentric benefits on biocentric sources. The analysed disorder in the play thus stands as the warning against the interferences with the harmony of the individual society, as well as the nature.

The Picture of Dorian Gray as a Mirror of the English Aesthetic Style

"Thou art the thing itself: creatures of his kind unaccommodated are no more but such aa poor bare forked animal as thou art. Interpretation: At this turning point Lear realizes that all creatures are similarly mortal and that civilization is mere facade; man is finally ruled by the earth. This understanding in some way shifts the focus from anthropocentric pride to ecological prudence. Consequently, Lear's insight is harmonious with the prominent tenets of the ecocriticism that dismiss anthropocentric thought for understanding and accepting a symbiotic relationship between humans and the environment (Glotfelty, 1996). This passage is a lament for the destruction of nature by the artifices of human civilisation which isolate man from nature.

This scene can be called Unwine and Revolution since its imagery is one of decay and new life (Act V, Scene iii).

"And thou no breath at all? Thou'lt come no more, Never, never, never, never, never. Interpretation: Lear suffered from death of Cordelia thus showing the complete dissolution of natural and family relationship. To emphasize the destructive outcomes of interferences with the existing order, the word 'never' is repeated: this work paints a picture of relationships between people and the environment where every change done by an external interference will lead to permanence. This moment can be analyzed under the framework of ecofeminism for the show observes the elimination of nurturing forces signified by Cordelia. Notice that the tragic resolution of the play commented on humanity's inability to act in compliance with observed cycles of care and reciprocity, thus adopting an ecocentric perspective. The second is the title 'Nature's Indifference to Human Suffering' which is used to brand the fourth scene from the second act. Textual Example: "O, reason not the need! Our basest beggars. In most cases they are in the poorest thing superfluous." Interpretation: Lear's play is specific in exposing the gap between human wants and environmentally bound possibilities. His description of 'indigenously supererogatory' needs attacks the extravagance and selfishness that grows in anthropocentric cultures. This accords with today's postsustainability issues and the impacts of the overpowering nature of human beings (Clark, 2011). The play depicts

nature as being a system where certain action/proaction is inevitable and needed, as opposed to human indulgence which tears at the heart of systems.

Discussion

This paper aims to show how the aspects of ecocriticism indicate the links between the Shakespeare's King Lear and nature while focusing on the theme of human dependence on the natural environment and its destruction. In this section, the findings of the analysis are presented with regard to ecocritical theory and literary analysis, as well as their relevance to current environmental debates. Writing about Human-Nature Interactions in King Lear is another major concern of King Lear is the issue of the force of Nature and the subject's actions. The storm on the heath is not just a literal storm, but a metaphor of Lear's storm, or the chaos that is consequent of the breakdown of relationships that is the very story. Fails because Lear is now arrogantly summoning the storm: 'Blow, winds, and crack your cheeks!' Rage! "Blow!" –thus reflects human's anthropocentric arrogance over the supremacy of nature. However, the indifferent account of the storm to Lear's suffering speaks an ecological ethos that is more imperious and unforgiving to human concerns than the laws of the kingdom. This conflict between efforts at controlling the environment and unexpected formations identifies with Timothy Morton's idea of 'dark ecology', with the human/non-human realities being intertwined in a world full of misapprehensions. Learsean alienation reflects upon a modern ecological problematic as well as social theory by portraying anthropocentrism, less reverence of human power over the natural world as prospect. The relationships within families and society are distorted, and these events also happen to a disruption of the natural cycles within ecosystems. This self-interest in Edmund's "Thou, Nature, art my goddess" misinterprets the natural law for human anthropocentric need and desire to live disregarding the common good and the ecology. This resonates with wider issues of ecocriticism where reality portrays human society as optimum for the winning of natural resources for personal or economic advantage rather than considering the consequences of the imbalance. In addition, murder of important characters at the end of the play may be considered as allusions to ecological retribution. The consequences of human arrogance and sin contribute to the conditions of the disasters as involve the condition of environmental devastation from unsustainable societies' actions. This interpretation adds to the view that nature is self-regulating and that it will punish anyone who interferes with its functioning. In context of Lear's Ecological awakening, there is a turning point in the play when Lear sees humanity's frailty and dependency on nature. His perceiving "unaccommodated man" as a "poor, bare, forked animal" is one of the distiches when the character of Lear becomes close to an ecological profile as he positions himself and other people to be equal to animals. This insight undermines anthropocentric discriminations and promotes identification with the value of ecocentrism. This outlook accords with current ecological philosophy in which human beings are depicted as being part of the environment. Although unfortunately Lear's, loses his powers and dies, his ecological metanoia is an excellent course in the destruction of humanity in relation to the rest of the world, and the call for a philosophical reconsideration of the place of man in the ecosystem. The Ecocritical Significance of King Lear According to the results of this research, a play King Lear should be viewed as an important source of the discussion of the modern environmental issues. Full appreciation of the play, however, lies beyond questions of aesthetics: its representations of antianthropocentric attitudes, its critical stance against anthropocentrism, and its implicit recognition of ecological justice all hold lessons for understanding civilizational and ethical aspects of environmental challenges. In implementing the above arguments, this thesis demonstrates the importance of analyzing literature in raising environmental

awareness and encouraging sustainable practices. Furthermore, the way in which ecocriticism is grounded between and beyond literary criticism, environmental science and philosophy can be seen to appeal to the need to interdisciplinary approaches in explaining ecological matters. The difficulties of interpreting *King Lear* are the essence of Shakespeare's subtle representation of nature and humanity, prove that literature may be used as an instrument for ecocritical analysis and promotion. The results of this study are relevant to the field of literary analysis and contemporary discussion about the environment. They argue that works of literature of early modern period such as *King Lear* can shed light on today's issues of concern such as sustainability, climate change and ecological justice. Subsequent studies may look into another Shakespeare play with precedence to ecocriticism or attempt to investigate the manner in which audiences of different cultures and time periods perceived the ecopolitical themes in play in *King Lear*. Further, comparative studies should be done to see what humankind and environment can mean in other tradition of world literature and other trends of ecological philosophizing. Thus, the present discussion reaffirms the critical importance of *King Lear* as a text to be studied through an ecological lens, arguing that Shakespeare's ongoing interest in nature remains a valuable resource for the present-day approaches to theorizing the human-environment interface.

Conclusion

Shakespeare is shown to harbour deep concerns of nature, man, and the natural world in the study of ecocritically *King Lear*. As a matter of fact, the play portrays human interactions and the forces of nature, and aims at inspiring ecological perspective. On this account, *King Lear* manages to eradicate its historical and cultural pretensions to appeal to contemporary ecological issues and concerns about self-importance and the role of human beings in the natural world. This is where the storm on the heath acquires the status of one of the primary ecological motifs which depict the overwhelming power of nature as well as weakness of people. Lear's encounter with the storm reflects the impossibility of an individual's aggression against the natural world, which accords with Timothy Morton's theory of "dark ecology", which rejects the oppositions of self and other, human and non-human. Such representation of nature as the force that has no regard to human life and is beyond control opposes anthropocentric vision of the world, according to which humanity occupies the dominant position in the cosmos. In *King Lear* the disturbance of the harmony natural reflects the aftermath of alienation from nature, as presented by betrayal both in family and societal level, chaos and representations of geography. Edmund's appeal to nature for self-serving interests is another way of demonstrating, as is the king's initial disregard for the environment before he himself is hurled into its uncaring embrace. They lead to destruction and sad consequences – This in fact is a lesson – there are always consequences for any attempt to upset the balance of nature. Lear's realization of 'sympathy with the things of life' is a transition of arrogance and ego to an understanding of our dependence on nature. He awakes with the realization that people are "bare, forked animals" which are part of nature and underlined the importance of the conservation of all species. This moment of ecology challenges anthropocentric frameworks calling for a rethinking of the human subject's position in the context of the biosphere. In addition, the closures in the play keeping an eye on ecological justice provides much more credit to the fact that nature has its ways of the pack and its necessities. Lear and Cordelia dies and Edmund kills Edgar undoubtedly indicating how power has breached the ecology and retributed itself when the functionaries of power irritate and rattle nature and culture. This coincides with the modern postcolonial and present ecocritical worries about the sustainability of the environment and the results of pollution.

Thus, *King Lear* is a relevant contribution to the global debate on human and non-human connections retaining possibilities for articulating an ethics of environmental accountability. Thus, applying the principles of ecocriticism to the analysis of the play, this paper contributes not only to the elaboration of Shakespeare's perspectives on environmental issues but also to the recognition of the importance of the critical potential of *King Lear* for discussing the ecological problems of today. Therefore, this paper has demonstrated that *King Lear* can be read as an early example of literary ecological consciousness and makes a call against anthropocentrism and for an understanding of the human place in the system of the Earth. Shakespeare's portrayal tangibility of nasty physical world and human arrogance is in alignment with post-truth political environment and the current economic concerns related to the Anthropocene. Therefore, this paper will help to develop the field of ecological studies, revealing the environmental aspects of the *King Lear* play, and emphasizing the importance of synchronizing the analysis of the tragic work with environmental initiatives.

References

- Alaimo, S. (2008). *Material feminisms*. Indiana University Press.
- Bate, J. (1997). *The song of the earth*. Harvard University Press.
- Clark, T. (2011). *The Cambridge introduction to literature and the environment*. Cambridge University Press.
- Cunningham, D. (2008). *Shakespeare and the environment: A green reading of King Lear*. Cambridge University Press.
- Estok, S. C. (2001). A report card on ecocriticism. *AUMLA: Journal of the Australasian Universities Language and Literature Association*, 96, 220-238.
- Frye, N. (2000). *Anatomy of criticism: Four essays*. Princeton University Press.
- Garrard, G. (2012). *Ecocriticism*. Routledge.
- Glotfelty, C. (1996). Introduction: Literary studies in an age of environmental crisis. In C. Glotfelty & H. Fromm (Eds.), *The ecocriticism reader: Landmarks in literary ecology* (pp. 195-202). University of Georgia Press.
- McKusick, J. C. (2010). *Green writing: Romanticism and ecology*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Morton, T. (2007). *Ecology without nature: Rethinking environmental aesthetics*. Harvard University Press.